

**Budget & Planning/TAC Committee**  
**Meeting Minutes**  
**Zoom Meeting**  
**December 1, 2022**  
**3:15pm**

Chair Helen Moore called the meeting to order at 3:15pm

**Present:** Lyle Henderson, Anthony Tovar, Katie Townsend, Kris Martens, Isaac Insko, Emily Adams, Tanya Kausler, Peter Wordelman, Drew Lusco and Elaina Robison

**Ex-Officio:** LeeAnn Case

**Others:** John Garlitz and Tonya Evans

**ACTION ITEMS**

Approval of Meeting Minutes:  
Passed unanimously

**DISCUSSION ITEMS**

**Guiding Principles**

Chair Moore asked the committee to reflect on the current guiding principles and share thoughts regarding changes to them.

Anthony Tovar suggested that the committee look at basing future EOU tuition on 85% of PSU, OSU & U of O prior year tuition.

Peter Wordelman ask how this % works for current #s. Anthony shared that the average tuition of the three was \$238.35. 85% of that total is \$202.60 which would be an increase of \$20.10 from the current \$182.50.

Tanya Kausler suggested that EOU should compare to the smaller universities.

Lyle shared that after running tuition numbers using Anthony's measure, he had determined that it would put EOU tuition above PSU & WOU. This is assuming they don't raise rates.

Peter Wordelman asked what 85% of all Oregon university tuitions from prior year looked like. Lyle shared that it would put us at a 10% increase, which would require HECC approval and he would have a hard time supporting, as well.

AVP Case shared that the process must comply with HB 4141 and encouraged the team to look at what other committees are doing.

AVP Case thanked the committee for taking part in good discussion and questions. She shared the following information slides and encouraged committee review and discussion. AVP Case also encouraged the committee to ask for any additional information that may help in the process.

- Tuition & Fee history
- Projection Model
- Comparator Model
- Oregon Financial Aid package

-HECC Administrative Ruling

The committee conducted further discussion around the process and current guiding principles. Chair Moore stated that the committee must know from the beginning what the guiding principles are being based on rather than having them questioned when the committee is further into the process. All agreed that keeping the current guiding principles was important.

Katie Townsend asked how effective the learning house had been, stating that many of her colleagues were asking the same question. AVP Case explained that there are confidentiality clauses that prevent some discussion. She shared that this would be an agenda item at the February board meeting. AVP Case also told Katie that if she would send her specific questions, she would share what was allowed.

John Garlitz shared fieldhouse status and told the committee that there would be a very soft opening (students, faculty & staff) Winter term and then a soft opening (community) Spring term

Lyle asked about the staircase. John stated that bids would take place January/February with complete removal taking place Spring term, followed by the build taking place Summer and Fall term.

Peter asked that the next meeting be moved to January 12 since classes didn't start until the 9<sup>th</sup>. Chair Moore told the committee that she would send out an email regarding the next meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:02PM

**Meeting Schedule**

The next meeting will be moved from January 5<sup>th</sup> to the 12<sup>th</sup> as requested

Respectfully Submitted  
Tonya Evans