

## Minutes from the Faculty Senate meeting January 7, 2008

### Present:

J.Woodford  
J.Johnson  
G.Monahan  
R. Powers  
L.Espinosa  
S.Tanner  
D.Mielke  
D.Timmerman  
V.Kelly

### Others Present

Provost Jaeger

Motion to approve the minutes approved unanimously

Jeff W: Be an active Senate, discuss issues, perhaps scheduling and load

Greg: Load is a dead-end issue, shouldn't include it as a goal

Rosemary: We don't have a sense of what our job is, we don't have a mechanism for getting representation back from constituents

Leandro: We should meet more than once a month

Steve T: Potential goal: implementation of multimodal classes

Leandro: We did a good job in responding to the BART

Greg: Multimodal classes is a good goal

Dan: Moves to appoint an ad-hoc committee to bring forth a list of goals

Rosemary: Speaks against, we have more purpose than she gives credit for

Greg: Let the executive committee establish some goals

Motion fails

Jeff J: Not really what the executive committee was designed for

Jeff W: Should be initiating actions rather than responding to the actions of others

Jeff J: Agrees but this is not the time, we are in crisis mode, also there are many conversations going on by many people at once

Leandro: Agrees but we should not interfere with the actions of others

Rosemary: We should initiate in our response to BART plan, with scheduling changes maintain community by pushing for a faculty lounge

Dan: Calls the question.

### Bylaws Discussion

Dan makes a motion to amend the bylaws to include Steve's and Greg's changes

Motion is seconded

Jeff W makes a motion to substitute Monday for Tuesday in the amendment

Motion fails 4-6-5

Question is called  
Dan ends debate  
After parliamentary confusion, vote is called on the bylaws  
Motion passes 11-3-1

#### BART Plan Discussion

Discussion on whether programs will be contacted with regards to assessment. Yes.  
Soft money is covering some temporary expenses for 2 years – will be used to offset expenses – will we be here discussing disaster in 2 years? Possibly?  
We are “right on margin” in matching expenses and revenue  
Concerns about multimodal courses – may not benefit faculty  
Provost: Multimodal courses will be “opportunity”, not a mandate  
Provost: No more pie-in-the-sky decision-making, will make data-driven decisions, estimate conservatively, and be persistent and consistent with our plans  
Rosemary: Has an issue with the teach-people-where-they-are style of teaching  
Provost: We are growing off-campus more than on-campus  
Rosemary: Are we the DDE University of OUS?  
Provost: We should work to not be seen as such  
Discussion of on-campus students taking DDE classes  
Discussion of academic rigor in DDE  
Discussion of load inequities, multimodal classes and association with accreditation  
Discussion of availability of information of the FTE that was cut

Discussion of academic advising and the impact of raising their FTE to 1.0  
Discussion of marketing, implementation of the Black report  
Provost: Board “gushed” over our plan, Provost thinks we now have a bigger say in controlling our own fate  
Provost: Wants to discuss multimodal classes some more

Steve: Will be resigning as IFS alternate, Senate should seek a new IFS alternate  
Jeff J: We need to think about how to treat Senate terms and IFS terms consistently  
Steve: Requirement that IFS senator be a member of Faculty Senate was removed from bylaws  
Jeff J: Then just seek some alternate, not necessarily  
Dan: Be prepared to seek nominations for IFS alternate

Next meeting is Tuesday, February 5 at 3:00 PM in Huber

Meeting adjourned at 4:42 PM